

2016, Chromosoma 125: 573-592; Belote, J.M., and B.S. Baker 1982, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79: 1568-1572; Brower, D.L., 1987, Development 101: 83-92; Casares, F., and R.S. Mann 2001, Science 293: 1477-1480; Castelli-Gair, J., and M. Akam 1995, Development 121: 2973-2982; Castro, B., S. Barolo, A.M. Bailey, and J.W. Posakony 2005, Development 132: 3333-3344; del Álamo, D., J. Terriente, and F.J. Díaz-Benjumea 2002, Development 129: 1975-1982; Devi, T.R., C. Amruthavalli, and B.V. Shymala 2012, Genesis 51: 97-109; Doe, C.Q., 2017, Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 33: 219-240; Foronda, D., L.F. de Navas, D.L. Garaulet, and E. Sánchez-Herrero 2009, Int. J. Dev. Biol. 53: 1409-1419; García-Bellido, A., 1975, In: *Cell Patterning*. (Porter, R., and J. Rivers, eds.). Elsevier. 161-182; Hannah-Alava, A., 1958, J. Morph. 103: 281-310; Held, L.I., Jr., 1990, Roux's Arch. Dev. Biol. 199: 31-47; Held, L.I., Jr., 2002a, *Imaginal Discs: The Genetic and Cellular Logic of Pattern Formation*. Cambridge Univ. Press, New York; Held, L.I., Jr., 2002b, Mechs. Dev. 117: 225-234; Held, L.I., Jr., 2017, *Deep Homology?: Uncanny Similarities of Humans and Flies Uncovered by Evo-Devo*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York; Held, L.I., Jr., 2010, Dros. Inf. Serv. 93: 132-146; Held, L.I., Jr., M.J. Grimson, and Z. Du 2004, Dros. Inf. Serv. 87: 76-78; Hurtado-Gonzales, J.L., W. Gallaher, A. Warner, and M. Polak 2015, Ethology 121: 45-56; Kopp, A., 2011, Evol. Dev. 13: 504-522; Lee, L.-W., and J.C. Gerhart 1973, Dev. Biol. 35: 62-82; Leung, B., and S. Waddell 2004, Trends Neurosci. 27: 511-513; Malagon, N., and E. Larsen 2015, Int. Rev. Cell Mol. Biol. 315: 153-181; McGuire, S.E., G. Roman, and R.L. Davis 2004, Trends Genet. 20: 384-391; Mlodzik, M., N.E. Baker, and G.M. Rubin 1990, Genes Dev. 4: 1848-1861; Nottebohm, E., A. Usui, S. Therianos, K.-I. Kimura, C. Dambly-Chaudière, and A. Ghysen 1994, Neuron 12: 25-34; Pavlopoulos, A., and M. Akam 2011, PNAS 108, #7: 2855-2860; Randsholt, N.B., and P. Santamaria 2008, Evol. Dev. 10: 121-133; Renaud, O., and P. Simpson 2001, Dev. Biol. 240: 361-376; Rozowski, M., and M. Akam 2002, Genes Dev. 16: 1150-1162; Schubiger, G., 1968, W. Roux' Arch. Entw.-Mech. Org. 160: 9-40; Schubiger, G., M. Schubiger, and A. Sustar 2012, Dev. Biol. 369: 76-90; Shroff, S., M. Joshi, and T.V. Orenic 2007, Mechs. Dev. 124: 43-58; Stern, D.L., 1998, Nature 396: 463-466; Stern, D.L., 2003, Dev. Biol. 256: 355-366; Struhl, G., 1982, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79: 7380-7384; Szebenyi, A.L., 1969, Anim. Behav. 17: 641-651; Tanaka, K., O. Barmina, L.E. Sanders, M.N. Arbeitman, and A. Kopp 2011, PLoS Biol. 9, #8: e1001131; Troost, T., M. Schneider, and T. Klein 2015, PLoS Genet. 11, #1: e1004911; Tsubota, T., K. Saigo, and T. Kojima 2008, Mechs. Dev. 125: 894-905; Vandervorst, P., and A. Ghysen 1980, Nature 286: 65-67; Yeh, E., L. Zhou, N. Rudzik, and G.L. Boulianne 2000, EMBO J. 19: 4827-4837.



Enhancer of *dumpy-vortex* [$e(dp^v)$] also enhances *dumpy-oblique* (dp^{ovl}).

Thompson, Steven, and Ross MacIntyre. Molecular Biology and Genetics, Cornell University and Ithaca College, Ithaca, New York 14853. Corresponding Author: Ross MacIntyre; email address: rjm18@cornell.edu.

The complex *dumpy* gene (Wilkin *et al.*, 2000) is an important component of the extracellular matrix of epithelial cells throughout development. *Dumpy* mutants fall into three classes, those that affect wing shape (oblique mutants), those that affect tendon cell attachment to the adult dorsal thoracic cuticle (vortex mutants), and those that affect viability (lethal mutants). Single mutants can affect one, two, or all three of these phenotypes. Following Grace *et al.* (1980), we have developed a scoring system for the oblique phenotype (Carmon *et al.*, 2010). Wild type wings are scored as zero, whereas *dumpy* mutant wings are scored from one to five with five indicating the most severe truncation and/or distorted wing shape.

As part of our ongoing study of *dumpy*'s interactions with other genes, we have examined the extent of the interaction between *dumpy* mutants and a gene discovered about 100 years ago by Calvin Bridges (Bridges and Mohr, 1919) originally called "enhancer of *dumpy* vortex" and now designated as $e(dp^v)$ in flybase. We made double mutant combinations of $e(dp^v)$ and the canonical *dumpy* oblique mutant, dp^{ovl} , which shows an intermediate expression of the oblique phenotype but variable expression of *dumpy* vortex. Our results, using the scoring system described above are shown in the table below:

- | | | | |
|----|---|------------------|----------------|
| 1. | $dp^{ov1}/dp^{ov1} ; e(dp^v)^+/e(dp^v)^+$ | females (79) 1.9 | males (65) 2.3 |
| 2. | $dp^{ov1}/dp^{ov1} ; e(dp^v)/e(dp^v)^+$ | females (23) 1.9 | males (21) 2.6 |
| 3. | $dp^{ov1}/dp^{ov1} ; e(dp^v)/e(dp^v)$ | females (53) 3.4 | males (74) 3.4 |

Clearly, $e(dp^v)$ also enhances the dumpy oblique phenotype as well, indicating the interaction is recessive for both mutants and taking place in both the developing wing disc and the tendon cells of the thoracic flight muscles. To date, the $e(dp^v)$ gene, which maps at 40.4 on the third chromosome, has not been annotated nor has the lesion producing the dumpy interaction been identified.

References: Bridges, C., and Mohr 1919, Genetics 4: 283-306; Carmon, A., F. Topbas, M. Baron, and R. MacIntyre 2010, Fly 4: 117-127; Grace, D., 1980, Genetics 94: 647-662; Wilkin, M.B., M.N. Becker, D. Mulvey, I. Phan, A. Chao, K. Cooper, H.J. Chung, I.D. Campbell, M. Baron, and R. MacIntyre 2000, Current Biology 10: 559-567.



Temperature shock effects on *dumpy* wing expression.

Thompson, Steven R., and Ross J. MacIntyre. Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853 and Department of Biology, Ithaca College, Ithaca, NY 14850. Corresponding author: Steve Thompson; email address:

thompson@ithaca.edu.

Introduction

The *dumpy* locus is a complex gene and developmental system. Through alteration of its' primary product, a large extracellular protein, three major mutant phenotypic effects have been noted. These are a truncated wing termed *oblique* (dp^o), a rearrangement of thoracic bristle pattern termed *vortex* (dp^v), and lethality (dp^l). Different *dumpy* alleles can exhibit a single one of these phenotypes or a combination, e.g., both *oblique* and *vortex* (dp^{ov}). Phenotypic expression of *dumpy* can be modified by a number of genetic factors, among them are single second site enhancer or suppressor genes, e.g., $en^{(dp^v)}$, the accumulative effects of polygenic modifiers, and position effect. Not yet examined are the effects of environmental factors such as temperature shock during pupal development on the phenotypic expression of *dumpy* mutants in the adult fly. This approach was used in the 1960's in a number of studies on the genetics and development of the posterior crossvein (Thompson, 1967). In these studies both enhancement and suppression of the mutant phenotype, missing portions of the posterior crossvein, was dependent on the time during pupal development when heat shock was applied, age response, and the length of the heat shock treatment, dose response. This study shows that the wing phenotype of *dumpy-oblique* mutants can be altered by high temperature shock in a fashion similar to that observed with *crossveinless-like* mutants.

Materials and Methods

The four different *dumpy* mutations, dp^{ov1} , dp^{ov7b} , dp^{ov56a} , and dp^{ovA12} , used in this study are a part of the *dumpy* stocks maintained in the laboratory of Dr. Ross J. MacIntyre of Cornell University. Stocks were maintained on a standard medium at room temperature, 23°C. Experimental cultures were handled as described by Thompson (1967).

White prepupae were collected and placed on the inside walls of plastic shell vials (25 × 95 mm), plugged with moist cotton and allowed to continue development at 23°C. Whiteness of the prepupae indicates that it was collected in less than one hour after the onset of puparium formation, and the time of collection is